arXiv:1409.4544v1 [math.CA] 16 Sep 2014

ON SELBERG'S THEOREM C IN THE THEORY OF THE RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION

JAN MOSER

Abstract. In this paper we obtain new theorems about classes of exceptional sets for the Selberg's theorem C (1942). Our theorems, as based on discrete method, are not accessible for Karatsuba's theory (1984) since this theory is a continuous theory. This paper is English version of our paper [8], the results of our paper [9] are added too.

1. Introduction

1.1. We use the following notions. Let

(a) $\psi(t)$ be a positive increasing to infinity function such that

$$\psi(t) \le \sqrt{\ln t},$$

(b) S be the set of values of t

$$t \in [T, T + T^{1/2+\epsilon}] \tag{1.1}$$

for which there is at least one zero point of the function

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \tag{1.2}$$

within the interval

$$\left(t, t + \frac{\psi(t)}{\ln t}\right), \quad S = S(T, \epsilon, \psi). \tag{1.3}$$

Let us remind that the set of segments (1.1) for every small and fixed $\epsilon > 0$ is the minimal set for the Selberg's theory. It is the assertion of the Selberg's C theorem (see [10], p. 49) relative to segment (1.1)

$$m(S) \sim T^{1/2+\epsilon}, \quad T \to \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

that is, the measure of the set $\bar{S}$ of such values

$$t \in [T, T + T^{1/2+\epsilon}]$$

for which there is no zero of the function (1.2) in the interval (1.3) is

$$m(\bar{S}) = o(T^{1/2+\epsilon}), \quad T \to \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta-function.

1.2. Next, let

$$\{g_\nu\}$$

denote the sequence that is defined by the formula

$$\vartheta_1(g_\nu) = \frac{\pi}{2}\nu, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots$$

(see [6], $\bar{t}_\nu = g_\nu$, comp. [3], [4]), where

$$\vartheta_1(t) = \frac{t}{2}\ln\frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{t}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8}.$$

Remark 1. Since the set

$$W = \{g_\nu : \ g_\nu \in [T, T + T^{1/2+\epsilon}]\} \tag{1.6}$$

is the finite one, then

$$m(W) = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Consequently, we have the following: no information is contained in the Selberg's theorem C (comp. (1.5), (1.7)) about the zeros of odd order of the function (1.2) in the intervals

$$\left(g_\nu, g_\nu + \frac{\psi(g_\nu)}{\ln g_\nu}\right), \ g_\nu \in W$$

that is the set W is the exceptional set for the Selberg's theorem C.

1.3. Now, let us remind the following deep methods of the English mathematicians:

(a) continuous method of Hardy-Littlewood (see [1]),
(b) discrete method of E.C. Titchmarsh (see [11]).

In our papers [6], [7], we have constructed a discrete analogue of the Hardy-Littlewood continuous method (that is, some synthesis of (a) and (b)). Especially, we have obtained the following estimate (see [7])

$$N_0(T + T^{5/12}\psi\ln^3 T) - N_0(T) > A(\psi)T^{5/12}\psi\ln^3 T, \tag{1.8}$$

where $N_0(T)$ denotes the number of zeros of the function

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right), \quad t \in (0, T],$$

and $A(\psi)$ is the constant that depends on choice of ψ, for example, if

$$\psi = \ln\ln\ln T,$$

then

$$A(\ln\ln\ln T)$$

is an absolute constant.

Remark 2. We notice explicitly that

(a) our improvement of the classical Hardy-Littlewood exponent $\frac{1}{2}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \longrightarrow \frac{5}{12}$$

is a 16.6% change after 61 years,
(b) the estimate (1.8) was the first step on a way to proof of the Selberg's hypothesis (see [10], p. 5, comp. [2], pp. 37,39).

Remark 3. Let us notice that I have sent the manuscripts of my papers [6], [7] to A.A. Karatsuba in the beginning of 1981.

After this analysis, it is clear that our estimate (1.8) is in need of a corresponding analogue of the Selberg's theorem C. Consequently, in this paper we shall prove an analogue of that theorem for finite set W_1 (and also for others) of values

$$g_\nu \in [T, T + T^{5/12}\psi \ln^3 T]; \quad m(W_1) = 0,$$

i. e. for the exceptional set in the sense of the Selberg's theorem.

2. Theorem 1

2.1. Let (see [6], (2.5))

$$\omega = \frac{\pi}{\ln \frac{T}{2\pi}} = \frac{\pi}{2 \ln P_0},\ U = T^{5/12}\psi \ln^3 T, \tag{2.1}$$
$$\ln T < M < \sqrt[3]{T} \ln T.$$

Next, let

$$\bar{\psi}(t)$$

be the function of the same kind as $\psi(t)$ and fulfilling the condition

$$\frac{\bar{\psi}}{\sqrt[3]{\psi}} = o(1),\ T \to \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

and let

$$G(T, \psi, \bar{\psi})$$

denote the number of such

$$g_\nu \in [T, T + U]$$

that the interval

$$(g_\nu, g_\nu + \bar{\psi}(g_\nu)) \tag{2.3}$$

contains a zero of the odd order of the function

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right),\ t \in [T, T + U].$$

The following theorem holds true.

Theorem 1.

$$G(T, \psi, \bar{\psi}) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} U \ln T,\ T \to \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Remark 4. Since (see [6], (8))

$$\sum_{T \le g_\nu \le T+U} 1 \sim \frac{1}{\pi} U \ln T,\ T \to \infty \tag{2.5}$$

then we have by Theorem 1 that for *almost all*

$$g_\nu \in [T, T + U]$$

the interval (2.3) contains a zero of the odd order of the function

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right).$$

Remark 5. Let $N(T)$ denote the number of zeros of the function

$$\zeta(s),\ s=\sigma+it,\ \sigma\in(0,1),\ t\in(0,T].$$

It is then true that (comp. [12], p. 181)

$$N(T+U)-N(T)\sim\frac{1}{2\pi}U\ln T,\ T\to\infty. \tag{2.6}$$

Of course, our formula (2.4) is not in a contradiction with the formula (2.6) since many of intervals (2.3) can intersect.

Remark 6. Let us notice explicitly that also for the theory of Karatsuba giving the estimate (comp. [2], p. 39)

$$N_0(T+T^{27/82+\epsilon})-N_0(T)>A(\epsilon)T^{27/82+\epsilon},$$

we have that the set of values

$$g_\nu\in[T,T+T^{27/82+\epsilon}]$$

is the exceptional set, since the theory is *continuous* as well as the classical theories of Hardy-Littlewood and Selberg. Consequently, our Theorem 1 is not improvable also by Karatsuba's theory.

2.2. Now we give the proof of Theorem 1. The basic point of the proof is the estimate (see [7], (3.16))

$$R<A\frac{U\ln^2 T}{M} \tag{2.7}$$

where R denotes the number of such

$$g_\nu^*\in[T,T+U]$$

for which the sequence

$$\{Z(g_\nu^*+k\omega)\}_{k=1}^M$$

preserves the sign (comp. [7], (3.9), (3.11)). Next,

$$\bar\psi(g_\nu)\geq\bar\psi(T),\ g_\nu\in[T,T+U],$$

and

$$\frac{\bar\psi(T)}{\omega}\sim\frac{1}{\pi}\bar\psi\ln T>\frac{1}{2\pi}\bar\psi\ln T\geq\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\bar\psi\ln T\right]=M_1,$$

of course,

$$M_1\in(\ln T,\sqrt[3]{\bar\psi}\ln T)$$

(see (2.1) - inequalities for M and (2.2)). Putting $M=M_1$ in (2.7) one obtains

$$R=o(U\ln T).$$

Now, the formula (2.4) follows from the previous by (2.5).

3. LEMMAS ABOUT TRANSLATIONS $g_\nu \longrightarrow g_\nu(\tau), \tau \in [-\pi, \pi]$

3.1. Let

$$\{g_\nu(\tau)\}$$

denote the infinite set of sequences which are defined (comp. [5]) by the formula

$$\vartheta_1[g_\nu(\tau)] = \frac{\pi}{2}\nu + \frac{\tau}{2},\ \nu = 1, 2, \dots,\ \tau \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

where, of course,

$$g_\nu(0) = g_\nu.$$

Now, we shall study how the lemmas from the papers [6], [7] are sensitive with respect to the translations

$$g_\nu \longrightarrow g_\nu(\tau), g_\nu \in [T, T+U],\ \tau \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

First of all we have (comp. [6], (22) – (36)) the following

Lemma $\bar{A}$.

$$g_{\bar\nu_1+p+1}(\tau) = g_{\bar\nu_1}(\tau) + \bar\omega_0 p - \bar\omega_0 D(p) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{U^3}{T^2 \ln T}\right),$$
$$p = 0, 1, \dots, N_1 - 1,$$

where (comp. [6], (11), (12))

$$\bar\omega_0 = \frac{\pi}{\ln \frac{T}{2\pi}} - \frac{\pi^2}{2}\frac{1}{T \ln^3 \frac{T}{2\pi}} - \pi \frac{g_{\bar\nu_1}(\tau) - T}{T \ln^2 \frac{T}{2\pi}},$$
$$Q = Q(T) = \frac{\pi}{T \ln^2 \frac{T}{2\pi}},$$
$$D(p) = \sum_{q=1}^{p} \{1 - (1-Q)^q\}, 1 \le p \le N_1 - 1,\ D(0) = 0,$$
$$g_{\bar\nu_1}(\tau) = \min_{g_\nu(\tau) \in [T, T+U]} \{g_\nu(\tau)\},$$
$$g_{\bar\nu_1+N_1}(\tau) = \max_{g_\nu(\tau) \in [T, T+U]} \{g_\nu(\tau)\},\ \bar\nu_1 = \bar\nu_1(\tau),\ N_1 = N_1(\tau),$$

and the $\mathcal{O}$ is valid uniformly for $\tau \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

3.2. Next, since (see [6], (118))

$$\bar\vartheta_{1,k} = \vartheta_1[g_\nu(\tau) + k\omega] = \frac{\pi}{2}\nu + \frac{\tau}{2} + k\omega \ln P_0 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{T \ln T}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

then (comp. [6], (121))

$$\begin{aligned} &Z[g_\nu(\tau) + k\omega] \cdot Z[g_\nu(\tau) + l\omega] = \\ &= 2 \sum_{m,n<P_0} \sum \frac{1}{\sqrt{nm}} \cos\{g_\nu(\tau) \ln \frac{n}{m} + k\omega \ln \frac{P_0}{n} - l\omega \frac{P_0}{m}\} + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{m,n<P_0} \sum \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\sqrt{nm}} \cos\{g_\nu(\tau) \ln(mn) - \tau - k\omega \ln \frac{P_0}{n} - l\omega \frac{P_0}{m}\} + \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{\sqrt{T} \ln T}\right) + \mathcal{O}(T^{-1/12} \ln T), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and the $\mathcal{O}$-estimates in (3.1), (3.2) are valid uniformly for $\tau \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

Now, we put (see (3.2), comp. [6], (16), (17))

$$\bar{S}_1(T,U,M,\tau)=\sum_{m<n<P_0}\sum\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}}\sum_{T\le g_\nu(\tau)\le T+U}\cos\left\{g_\nu(\tau)\ln\frac{n}{m}+\varphi_1\right\},$$

where

$$\varphi_1=k\omega\ln\frac{P_0}{m}-l\omega\ln\frac{P_0}{n},$$

and also (comp. [6], (19), (20))

$$\bar{S}_2(T,U,M,\tau)=\sum_{m<n<P_0}\sum\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}}\sum_{T\le g_\nu(\tau)\le T+U}(-1)^\nu\cos\{g_\nu(\tau)\ln(mn)+\bar{\varphi}_2\},$$

where

$$\bar{\varphi}_2=-k\omega\ln\frac{P_0}{n}-l\omega\ln\frac{P_0}{m}-\tau=\varphi_2-\tau.$$

Since

$$T\le g_\nu(\tau)\le T+U,$$

and

$$\bar{S}_2(T,U,M,\tau)=\mathrm{Re}\left\{e^{-i\tau}\sum_{m,n<P_0}\sum\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}}\times\right.$$

$$\left.\times\sum_{T\le g_\nu(\tau)\le T+U}(-1)^\nu\exp\{i[g_\nu(\tau)\ln(mn)+\varphi_2]\}\right\}$$

then the following estimates (comp. [6], (18), (21), (37) – (93)) hold true

Lemma $\bar{B}$.

$$\bar{S}_1(T,U,M,\tau)=\mathcal{O}(MT^{5/12}\ln^3 T)$$

uniformly for $\tau\in[-\pi,\pi]$.

Lemma $\bar{C}$.

$$\bar{S}_2(T,U,M,\tau)=\mathcal{O}(T^{5/12}\ln^2 T)$$

uniformly for $\tau\in[-\pi,\pi]$.

3.3. Let (see [6], (3))

$$\bar{J}=\bar{J}(T,U,M,\tau)=\sum_{T\le g_\nu(\tau)\le T+U}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{M}Z[g_\nu(\tau)+k\omega]\right\}^2.$$

Now we obtain by method [6], (94) – (127) the following

Lemma $\bar{\alpha}$.

$$\bar{J}=AMU\ln^2 T+o(MU\ln^2 T),$$

($A>0$ is an absolute constant) uniformly for $\tau\in[-\pi,\pi]$.

Next, we have, instead of [7], (5.1), (5.2), the following

$$4\cos\bar{\vartheta}_k\cos\bar{\vartheta}_l\cos(\bar{\vartheta}_k-\bar{\vartheta}_l)=$$

$$=1+(-1)^{k+l}+(-1)^{\nu+k}\cos\tau+(-1)^{\nu+l}\cos\tau+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{T\ln T}\right),$$

$$-4\cos^2\bar{\vartheta}_k=-2-2(-1)^{\nu+k}\cos\tau+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{T\ln T}\right).$$

Now, putting (comp. [7], (3.4), (3.5))

$$\bar{N}=\sum_{T\le g_\nu(\tau)\le T+U}|\bar{K}|^2,$$
$$\bar{K}=\sum_{k=0}^{M}\left\{e^{-i\vartheta[g_\nu(\tau)+k\omega]}Z[g_\nu(\tau)+k\omega]-1\right\},$$

we obtain by method [7], (4.1) – (7.3) the following

Lemma $\bar{\beta}$.

$$\bar{N}=\mathcal{O}(MU\ln^2 T)$$

uniformly for $\tau\in[-\pi,\pi]$.

4. Two theorems connected with translations $g_\nu\longrightarrow g_\nu(\tau),\tau\in[-\pi,\pi]$

4.1. First of all we give (comp. [7], (2.6) – (2.8)) the following

Definition 1. We shall call the segment

$$[g_\nu(\tau)+k(\nu)\omega,g_\nu(\tau)+(k(\nu)+1)\omega]$$

where

$$g_\nu(\tau)\in[T,T+U],\ \tau\in[-\pi,\pi],\ 0\le k(\nu)\le M_2=[\delta\ln T],\ \delta>1,$$

and $k(\nu)\in\mathbb{N}_0$ as *the good segment* (comp. [7], [11]) if

$$Z[g_\nu(\tau)+k(\nu)\omega]\cdot Z[g_\nu(\tau)+(k(\nu)+1)\omega]<0.$$

Next, let

$$G_1(T,U,\delta,\tau)$$

denote the number of non-intersecting good segments within the interval $[T,T+U]$. Then we obtain, similarly to [7], (3.7), (3.20), the following result

Theorem 2. There are

$$\delta_0>1,\ A(\psi,\delta_0)>0,\ T_0(\psi,\delta_0)>0$$

such that

$$G_1(T,U,\delta_0,\tau)>A(\psi,\delta_0)U,\ T\ge T_0(\psi,\delta_0) \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\tau\in[-\pi,\pi]$.

Remark 7. We notice explicitly that the estimate [7], (2.9) concerning the number of good segments (relatively to $\{g_\nu\}$) is invariant with respect to translations

$$g_\nu\longrightarrow g_\nu(\tau),\ \tau\in[-\pi,\pi],\ g_\nu\in[T,T+U].$$

4.2. Above listed facts make clear that we have obtained a kind of generalization of our Theorem 1. Namely, let

$$G_2(T,\psi,\bar{\psi},\tau)$$

stand for the number of values

$$g_\nu(\tau)\in[T,T+U]$$

such that the interval

$$(g_\nu(\tau),g_\nu(\tau)+\bar{\psi}[g_\nu(\tau)]) \tag{4.2}$$

contains a zero of the odd order of the function

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right).$$

Then the following theorem holds true.

Theorem 3.

$$G_2(T,\psi,\bar{\psi},\tau)\sim\frac{1}{\pi}U\ln T,\ T\to\infty,\ \tau\in[-\pi,\pi].$$

5. Remarks on Selberg's theorems about zeros of function $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)$

We have given a discrete commentary to fundamental Selberg's memoir [10] in our paper [9]. Here we put two results from our paper [9].

5.1. Let

$$H_1\in[a_1,a_2\sqrt{\ln P_0}], \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$a_1=\frac{10}{\pi\epsilon},\quad a_2=a_1\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}},\ H_1\in\mathbb{N}.$$

The origin of (5.1) is as follows: we put

$$\omega=\frac{\pi}{2\ln P_0},\ H_1\omega=H,\ \xi=\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{\epsilon/10}=P_0^{\epsilon/5},\ \epsilon\le\frac{1}{10},$$

(see [9], (9)), and further, we assume that

$$\frac{1}{\ln\xi}\le H\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln\xi}},$$

(see [9], (10)).

Definition 2. We shall call the segment

$$[g_\nu(\tau)+(k(\nu,\tau)-1)\omega,g_\nu(\tau)+k(\nu,\tau)\omega],$$

where

$$g_\nu(\tau)\in[T,T+U],\ 1\le k(\nu,\tau)\le N_1,$$

and $k(\nu,\tau)\in\mathbb{N}$ as *the good segment* (see [9], p. 113) if

$$Z[g_\nu(\tau)+(k(\nu,\tau)-1)\omega]\cdot Z[g_\nu(\tau)+k(\nu,\tau)\omega]<0.$$

Next, let

$$G_3(T,U,H_1,\tau)$$

denote the number of non-intersecting good segments within the interval $[T,T+U]$. Then the following theorem holds true.

Theorem 4. There are

$$\bar{H}_1 \in [a_1, a_2\sqrt{\ln P_0}],\ A(\epsilon) > 0,\ T_0(\epsilon) > 0$$

such that

$$G_3(T, U, \tau) > A(\epsilon) U \ln T,\ T \geq T_0(\epsilon), \tag{5.2}$$

where, of course,

$$G_3(T, U, \tau) = G_3(T, U, \bar{H}_1, \tau)$$

Remark 8. Since

$$G_3(T, U, \tau) < AU \ln T, \tag{5.3}$$

then the order of G_3 is $U \ln T$ for every fixed $\tau \in [-\pi, \pi]$ (comp. (5.2), (5.3)). We shall call this property as *generalized Gram's law* for the set of sequences $\{g_\nu(\tau)\}$.

Now, we obtain the following from our Theorem 4.

Corollary.

$$N_0(T + T^{1/2+\epsilon}) - N_0(T) > A(\epsilon) T^{1/2+\epsilon} \ln T,$$

i. e. the Selberg's theorem A (see [10], p. 46, $a = 1/2 + \epsilon$).

Remark 9. Consequently, the generalized Gram's law is the discrete basis of fundamental Selberg's theorem A.

Remark 10. Our Theorem 4 is also not improvable by the Karatsuba theory.

Remark 11. Finally, we mention that our Theorem 3 is valid also for the intervals of the following form

$$\left(g_\nu(\tau), g_\nu(\tau) + \frac{\psi[g_\nu(\tau)]}{\ln g_\nu(\tau)}\right),\ g_\nu(\tau) \in [T, T + T^{1/2+\epsilon} \ln T),$$

(comp. [9], p. 112). i.e. for our system of exceptional sets, where

$$a_1 \leq \left[\frac{\psi(\tau)}{2\pi}\right] \leq a_2\sqrt{\ln P_0},$$

as a discrete analogue of the Selberg's theorem C.

I would like to thank Michal Demetrian for helping me with the electronic version of this work.

REFERENCES

[1] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, 'Zeros of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line', Math. Zs., 10 (1921), 283-317.
[2] A. A. Karatsuba, '*Complex analysis in number theory*', C.R.C. Press, Boca Raton Ann Arbor, London, Tokyo, (1995).
[3] J. Moser, 'The behavior of the functions Re$\{\zeta(s)\}$ and Im$\{\zeta(s)\}$ in the critical strip', Acta. Arith., 34, (1977), 25-35, (in Russian).
[4] J. Moser, 'Proof of the Titchmarsh conjecture in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function', Acta Arith., 36 (1980), 147-156, (in Russian).
[5] J. Moser, 'New consequences of the Riemann-Siegel formula', Acta Arith., 42 (1982), 1-10, (in Russian), arXiv: 1312.4767.
[6] J. Moser, 'On a Hardy-Littlewood lemma in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function', Acta Math. Univ. Comen., 42-43, (1983), 7-26, (in Russian).
[7] J. Moser, 'Improvement of the theorem of Hardy-Littlewood on density of zeros of the function $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)$', Acta. Math. Univ. Comen., 42-43, (1983), 41-50, (in Russian), arXiv: 1409.2988.

[8] J. Moser, 'On Selberg's theorem C in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function', Acta Math. Univ. Comen., 42-43 (1983), 65-72, (in Russian).
[9] J. Moser, 'Remarks to A. Selberg's theorems on zeros of the function $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)$', Acta Math. Univ. Comen., 50-51, (1987), 111-132, (in Russian).
[10] A. Selberg, 'On zeros of Riemann zeta-function', Skr. Norske Vid. Akad., Oslo (1942), No. 10.
[11] E. C. Titchmarsh, 'On van der Corput's method and the zeta-function of Riemann, (IV)', Quart. J. Math. 5, (1934), 98-105.
[12] E. C. Titchmarsh, '*The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*', Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951.

Department of Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Mathematics, Comenius University, Mlynska Dolina M105, 842 48 Bratislava, SLOVAKIA
E-mail address: jan.mozer@fmph.uniba.sk